



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,545	12/30/2000	Christopher J. Spiegel	042390P10597	7429
7590 04/08/2004			EXAMINER	
Lisa N. Benado			CHEN, TE Y	
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2171	11
Los Angeles, C	CA 90025-1026		DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004	, / / 0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Peg	
	Applicati n No	Applicant(s)	17	
·	09/751,545	SPIEGEL, CHRIS	SPIEGEL, CHRISTOPHER J.	
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit		
	Susan Y Chen	2171		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Peri d f r Reply	ars n the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) May cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time IONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.	
Status				
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Fe 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal m		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 31-60 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 31-38,41-48 and 51-58 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) 39,40,49,50,59 and 60 is/are objected 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. I. I to.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order access and the correction of the correction of the order access and the correction of the correc	epted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abey ion is required if the drawi	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have be u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National	l Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper N 5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTo	0-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) U Other:				

Art Unit: 2171

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/17/2004 has been entered.

This is in response to amendment filed on 12/22/2003.

Claims 31-60 are pending for examination, claims 31, 33, 41, 43, 51 and 53 have been amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35

U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this

Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2171

Claims 31-38, 41-48 and 51-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,622,200 issued to Hasbun et al.

The applied reference has a common assignee and at least one common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Art Unit: 2171

As to claims 51, Hasbun et al. discloses a data storage system as claimed by applicant [e.g., Title, Abstract, Fig(s). 1-2, 5-27], comprising:

- a) a processor [e.g., 110, Fig. 1];
- b) a memory coupled to the processor [e.g., 160, 170, 180, Fig. 1];
- c) a process executed the processor from the memory having a data object manager [e.g., the Flash Memory Manager (FMM), col. 6, lines 20 31] to:
- 1) receive an instruction for updating a file having a plurality of fragments stored in the memory, the instruction including changed data for updating a first fragment of the file [e.g., col. 5, lines 62 65; col. 6, lines 38-41; Fig. 14 and associated texts];
- 2) create a second fragment based on the first fragment and the changed data [e.g., the step 1420, Fig. 14; col. 15, line 64 col. 16, line 10];
- 3) copy a first table associated with the first fragment to form a second table [e.g., the steps: 2710-2724, Fig. 27], the second table having a handler reference the second fragment [e.g., the steps 1418, Fig. 14; col. 16, lines 11-22];
- 4) delete the first fragment when the creating and copying operations are completed [e.g., the steps 1434 1470, Fig. 14; col.16, lines 30-43].

As to claim 52, except all the features cited in claim 51, Hasbun further discloses that the data object manager further marks via the first table, the first

Art Unit: 2171

fragment as invalid while the creating and compying operations are being performed [e.g., the marking processings of Fig. 14 and Fig. 23].

As to claim 53, except all the features cited in claim 52, Hasbun further discloses that the data object manager further to:

- a) determine whether the instruction has been cancelled before the creating and copying operations are completed [e.g., the steps 1434-1450; col.
 16, lines 23-49];
- b) mark the first fragment as valid if instruction has been cancelled before the creating and copying operations are completed [e.g., 1460, Fig. 14; col. 16, lines 34-39];
- c) delete the second fragment and the second table after marking the first fragment as valid [e.g., steps 2350-2390, Fig. 23 and associated text].

As to claim 54, except all the features cited in claim 51, Hasbun further discloses that the data object manager further deletes the first table when the creating and copying operations are completed [e.g., the step 2734, Fig. 27].

As to claim 55, except all the features cited in claim 51, Hasbun further discloses that the data object manager further marks, via the second table, the second fragment as a backup copy of the first segment while the creating and copying operations are being performed [e.g., the step 2820, Fig. 28].

Art Unit: 2171

As to claim 56, except all the features cited in claim 55, Hasbun further discloses that the data object manager further unmarks the second fragment as the backup copy of the first segment after the creating and copying operations are completed [e.g., the steps 2360-2364, Fig. 23].

As to claim 57, except all the features cited in claim 51, Hasbun further discloses that the copying of the first table comprises writing the handle into a replacement entry [e.g., Fig. 10 and associated text].

As to claim 58, except all the features cited in claim 57, Hasbun further discloses that writing the handle comprises marking a handle pointing to the first fragment as invalid and marking the handler pointing to the second fragment as valid [e.g., Fig. 11 and associated text].

As to claims 31-38 and 41-48, these claims recite the same subject matter in form of method and machine-readable medium product, therefore are rejected for the same reason.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 39-40, 49-50 and 59-60 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2171

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 31-60 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Spiegel et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,711,675, 6,614,709, 6,571,326), these patents disclose the use of fresh memory to manipulate the boot flow of the blocked nonvolatile memory via a program control.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Y Chen whose telephone number is (703) 308-1155. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on (703) 308-1436. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2171

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Susan Y Chen Examiner Art Unit 2171

April 2, 2004

UYEN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER